

**REMARKS**

The Final Office Action mailed October 5, 2005, has been received and reviewed. By the present Response, Claim 1 is amended and Claims 2, 4, 10, 13, 14, 19, and 21 are amended. Currently pending in the application, then, are Claims 2-5 and 10-24, of which Claims 10, 15, and 19 are independent. No new matter has been introduced by this Response.

***Claim Objections***

Claims 19-20 are objected to because of informalities. In Claim 19, line 13, "the anchor adjacent are each other" is indefinite and should be changed to ---the anchor are adjacent each other--- for clarification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 20 is objected as depending on objected Claim 19.

Claim 19 is amended according to the Examiner suggestions. Therefore, these objections are overcome and Claims 19-20 are now in condition for allowance.

***Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1-5, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,510,863 to Kliewer in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,286,796 to Pugliesi. And Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kliewer in view of Pugliesi and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 4,907,778 to Rockwell.

Claim 1 is canceled and the elements of this claim have been added to objected-to Claim 10, which is now in condition for allowance as discussed below. Claims 2 and 4 are amended to be dependent from amended Claim 10 and to cancel elements already included in Claim 10, so these claims are now in condition for allowance. Claims 3 and 5 are dependent from Claims 2 and 4, so Claims 3 and 5 are also now in condition for

allowance. And Claims 13, 14, and 21 are amended to be dependent from amended Claim 10, so these claims are now in condition for allowance, too.

***Allowable Subject Matter***


Claims 10-12 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 10 is amended to include all of the elements of base Claim 1, so Claim 10 is now in condition for allowance. Claims 11, 12, and 22 as written are dependent from Claim 10, so they too are now in condition for allowance.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the amendments submitted herein and the above comments, it is believed that all grounds of rejection are overcome and that the application has now been placed in full condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant requests early and favorable action. Should there be any further questions, the Examiner is urged to telephone the Applicant's undersigned attorney at (770) 984-2300.

Respectfully submitted,  
GARDNER GROFF SANTOS &  
GREENWALD, PC



John W. Greenwald  
Reg. No. 41,803

GARDNER GROFF SANTOS & GREENWALD, P.C.  
100 Parkwood Point  
2018 Powers Ferry Road  
Suite 800  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
Tel: 770.984.2300  
Fax: 770.984.0098